

Generalized Vaidya Solutions

Anzhong Wang *

Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, Maracanã, Cep. 20550 – 013 Rio de Janeiro – RJ, Brazil

Yumei Wu †

Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 68530, Cep. 21945 – 970, Rio de Janeiro – RJ, Brazil

A large family of solutions, representing, in general, spherically symmetric Type II fluid, is presented, which includes most of the known solutions to the Einstein field equations, such as, the monopole-de Sitter-charged Vaidya ones.

PACS numbers: 04.20Jb, 04.40.+c.

In 1951, Vaidya [1] found a solution that represents an imploding (exploding) null dust fluid with spherical symmetry. Since then, the solution has been intensively studied in gravitational collapse [2]. In particular, Papapetrou [3] first showed that this solution can give rise to the formation of naked singularities, and thus provides one of the earlier counterexamples to the cosmic censorship conjecture [4]. Later, the solution was generalized to the charged case [5]. The charged Vaidya solution soon attracted lot of attention and has been studied in various situations. For example, Sullivan and Israel [6] used it to study the thermodynamics of black holes, and Kaminaga [7] used it as a classical model for the geometry of evaporating charged black holes, while Lake and Zannias [8] studied the self-similar case and found that, similar to the uncharged case, naked singularities can be also formed from gravitational collapse. Quite recently, Husian [9] further generalized the Vaidya solution to a null fluid with a particular equation of state. Husian's solutions have been lately used as the formation of black holes with short hair [10].

In this Letter we shall generalize the Vaidya solution to a more general case, which include most of the known solutions to the Einstein field equations, such as, the monopole-de Sitter-charged Vaidya solutions, and the Husian solutions. The generalization comes from the observation that the energy-momentum tensor (EMT) is linear in terms of the mass function. As a result, the linear superposition of particular solutions is also a solution of the Einstein field equations. To show this, let us begin with the general spherically symmetric line element [11]

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\psi(v,r)} \left[1 - \frac{2m(v,r)}{r} \right] dv^2 + 2\epsilon e^{\psi(v,r)} dv dr + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (\epsilon = \pm 1), \quad (1)$$

where $m(v,r)$ is usually called the mass function, and related to the gravitational energy within a given radius r [8,12]. When $\epsilon = +1$, the null coordinate v represents the Eddington advanced time, in which r is decreasing towards the future along a ray $v = \text{Const.}$ (ingoing), while when $\epsilon = -1$, it represents the Eddington retarded time, in which r is increasing towards the future along a ray $v = \text{Const.}$ (outgoing).

In the following, we shall consider the particular case where $\psi(v,r) = 0$. Then, the non-vanishing components of the Einstein tensor are given by,

$$G_0^0 = G_1^1 = -\frac{2m'(v,r)}{r^2}, \quad G_0^1 = \frac{2\dot{m}(v,r)}{r^2}, \quad G_2^2 = G_3^3 = -\frac{m''(v,r)}{r}, \quad (2)$$

where $\{x^\mu\} = \{v, r, \theta, \varphi\}$, $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$, and

$$\dot{m}(v,r) \equiv \frac{\partial m(v,r)}{\partial v}, \quad m'(v,r) \equiv \frac{\partial m(v,r)}{\partial r}.$$

Combining Eq.(2) with the Einstein field equations $G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}$, we find that the corresponding EMT can be written in the form [9]

*e-mail address: wang@symbcomp.uerj.br

†e-mail address: yumei@dmm.im.ufrj.br

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} &= \mu l_\mu l_\nu, \\ T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} &= (\rho + P)(l_\mu n_\nu + l_\nu n_\mu) + P g_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\mu = \frac{2\epsilon \dot{m}(v, r)}{\kappa r^2}, \quad \rho = \frac{2m'(v, r)}{\kappa r^2}, \quad P = -\frac{m''(v, r)}{\kappa r}, \quad (5)$$

with l_μ and n_μ being two null vectors,

$$\begin{aligned} l_\mu &= \delta_\mu^0, \quad n_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{2m(v, r)}{r} \right] \delta_\mu^0 - \epsilon \delta_\mu^1, \\ l_\lambda l^\lambda &= n_\lambda n^\lambda = 0, \quad l_\lambda n^\lambda = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The part of the EMT, $T_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$, can be considered as the component of the matter field that moves along the null hypersurfaces $v = \text{Const}$. In particular, when $\rho = P = 0$, the solutions reduce to the Vaidya solution with $m = m(v)$. Therefore, for the general case we consider the EMT of Eq.(3) as a generalization of the Vaidya solution.

Projecting the EMT of Eq.(3) to the orthonormal basis, defined by the four vectors,

$$E_{(0)}^\mu = \frac{l_\mu + n_\mu}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad E_{(1)}^\mu = \frac{l_\mu - n_\mu}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad E_{(2)}^\mu = \frac{1}{r} \delta_2^\mu, \quad E_{(3)}^\mu = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \delta_3^\mu, \quad (7)$$

we find that

$$[T_{(a)(b)}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu}{2} + \rho & \frac{\mu}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\mu}{2} & \frac{\mu}{2} - \rho & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

which in general belongs to the Type II fluids defined in [13]. The null vector l^μ is a double null eigenvector of the EMT. For this type of fluids, the energy conditions are the following [13]:

a) *The weak and strong energy conditions:*

$$\mu \geq 0, \quad \rho \geq 0, \quad P \geq 0, \quad (\mu \neq 0). \quad (9)$$

b) *The dominant energy condition:*

$$\mu \geq 0, \quad \rho \geq P \geq 0, \quad (\mu \neq 0). \quad (10)$$

Clearly, by properly choosing the mass function $m(v, r)$, these conditions can be satisfied. In particular, when $m = m(v)$, as we mentioned previously, the solutions reduce to the Vaidya solution, and the energy conditions (weak, strong, and dominant) all reduce to $\mu \geq 0$, while when $m = m(r)$, we have $\mu = 0$, and the matter field degenerates to type I fluid [13]. In the latter case, the energy conditions become:

c) *The weak energy condition:*

$$\rho \geq 0, \quad P + \rho \geq 0, \quad (\mu = 0). \quad (11)$$

d) *The strong energy condition:*

$$\rho + P \geq 0, \quad P \geq 0, \quad (\mu = 0). \quad (12)$$

e) *The dominant energy condition:*

$$\rho \geq 0, \quad -\rho \leq P \leq \rho \quad (\mu = 0). \quad (13)$$

Without loss of generality, we expand $m(v, r)$ in the powers of r ,

$$m(v, r) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n(v) r^n, \quad (14)$$

where $a_n(v)$ are arbitrary functions of v only. Note that the sum of the above expression should be understood as an integral, when the “spectrum” index n is continuous. Substituting it into Eq.(5), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{2\epsilon}{\kappa} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \dot{a}_n(v) r^{n-2}, \quad \rho = \frac{2}{\kappa} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} n a_n(v) r^{n-3}, \\ P &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} n(n-1) a_n(v) r^{n-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The above solutions include most of the known solutions of the Einstein field equations with spherical symmetry:

i) **The monopole solution** [14]: If we choose the functions $a_n(v)$ such that

$$a_n(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{2}, & n = 1, \\ 0, & n \neq 1, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where a is an arbitrary constant, then we find

$$\begin{aligned} m(v, r) &= \frac{ar}{2}, \\ \rho &= \frac{a}{\kappa r^2}, \quad \mu = P = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Clearly, in this case the matter field is type I and satisfies all the three energy conditions (11) - (12) as long as $a > 0$. The corresponding solution can be identified as representing the gravitational field of a monopole [14] (see also [15]).

ii) **The de Sitter and Anti-de Sitter solutions**: If the functions $a_n(v)$ are chosen such that

$$a_n(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Lambda}{6}, & n = 3, \\ 0, & n \neq 3, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} m(v, r) &= \frac{\Lambda}{6} r^3, \\ \rho &= -P = \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}, \quad \mu = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and that

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\Lambda}{\kappa} g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (20)$$

This corresponds to the de Sitter solutions for $\Lambda > 0$, and to Anti-de Sitter solution for $\Lambda < 0$, where Λ is the cosmological constant.

iii) **The charged Vaidya solution**: To obtain the charged Vaidya solution, we shall choose the functions $a_n(v)$ such that,

$$a_n(v) = \begin{cases} f(v), & n = 0, \\ -\frac{q^2(v)}{2}, & n = -1, \\ 0, & n \neq 0, -1, \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

where the two arbitrary functions $f(v)$ and $q(v)$ represent, respectively, the mass and electric charge at the advanced (retarded) time v . Inserting the above expression into Eq.(15), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} m(v, r) &= f(v) - \frac{q^2(v)}{2r}, \\ \mu &= \frac{2\epsilon}{\kappa r^3} \left[r \dot{f}(v) - q(v) \dot{q}(v) \right], \\ \rho &= P = \frac{q^2(v)}{\kappa r^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This is the well-known charged Vaidya solution. $T_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$ corresponds to the EMT of the Vaidya null fluid, and $T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)}$ to the electromagnetic field, $F_{\mu\nu}$, given by,

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{q(v)}{r^2} (\delta_\mu^0 \delta_\nu^1 - \delta_\mu^1 \delta_\nu^0). \quad (23)$$

From Eq.(22) we can see that the condition $\mu \geq 0$ gives the main restriction on the choice of the functions $f(v)$ and $q(v)$. In particular, if $df/dq > 0$, we can see that there always exists a critical radius r_c such that when $r < r_c$, we have $\mu < 0$, where

$$r_c = q(v) \frac{\dot{q}(v)}{\dot{f}(v)}. \quad (24)$$

Thus, in this case the energy conditions are always violated. However, a closer investigation of the equation of motion for the massless charged particles that consist of the charged null fluid showed that in this case the hypersurface $r = r_c$ is actually a vanishing point [16]. In the imploding case ($\epsilon = +1$), for example, due to the repulsive Lorentz force, the 4-momenta of the particles vanish exactly on $r = r_c$. Afterwards, the Lorentz force will push the particles to move outwards. Therefore, in realistic situations the particles cannot get into the region $r < r_c$, whereby the energy conditions are preserved [16].

iv) **The Husian solutions:** If we choose the functions $a_n(v)$ such that

$$a_n(v) = \begin{cases} f(v), & n = 0, \\ -\frac{g(v)}{2k-1}, & n = 2k-1 \ (k \neq 1/2), \\ 0, & n \neq 0, 2k-1, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where $f(v)$ and $g(v)$ are two arbitrary functions, and k is a constant, then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} m(v, r) &= f(v) - \frac{g(v)}{(2k-1)r^{2k-1}}, \\ \mu &= \frac{2\epsilon}{\kappa r^2} \left[\dot{f}(v) - \frac{\dot{g}(v)}{(2k-1)r^{2k-1}} \right], \\ P &= k\rho = \frac{2kg(v)}{\kappa r^{2k+2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

This is the solution first found by Husian by imposing the equation of state $P = k\rho$ [9]. When $k = 1$, they reduce to the charged Vaidya solution. Similar to the latter case, now the condition $\mu \geq 0$ also gives the main restriction on the choice of the functions $f(v)$ and $g(v)$, especially for the case where $df/dg > 0$. However, one may follow Ori [16] to argue that the hypersurface

$$r = r_c = \left[(2k-1)^{-1} \frac{dg}{df} \right]^{\frac{1}{2k-1}},$$

is also a turning point, although we have not been able to show this explicitly. But the following considerations indeed support this point of view. Following [10], we can cast $T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)}$ into the form of a *generalized* electromagnetic field,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} = \frac{2}{\kappa} \left(F_{\mu\lambda} F_\nu^\lambda - \frac{\alpha}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\sigma} F^{\lambda\sigma} \right), \quad (27)$$

where $\alpha = 2/(1+k)$, and $F_{\mu\nu}$ can be considered as the generalized electromagnetic field, given by,

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{[k(1+k)m'(v, r)]^{1/2}}{r} (\delta_\mu^0 \delta_\nu^1 - \delta_\mu^1 \delta_\nu^0), \quad (28)$$

which satisfies the Maxwell field equations,

$$F_{[\mu\nu;\lambda]} = 0, \quad F_{\mu\nu;\lambda} g^{\nu\lambda} = J_\mu, \quad (29)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\mu &= J_0 \delta_\mu^0 + J_1 \delta_\mu^1, \\
J_0 &= \frac{2\delta q^{k+1}(v)}{r^{3(k+1)}} \left\{ k\dot{q}(v)r^{2(k+1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1-k)r q(v)[q^{2k}(v) - 2f(v)r^{2k-1} + r^{2k}] \right\}, \\
J_1 &= -\frac{2\delta(1-k)q^k(v)}{r^{k+2}}, \\
g(v) &= \frac{(2k-1)q^{2k}(v)}{2}, (k \neq 1/2),
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $\delta \equiv [k(1+k)(2k-1)/2]^{1/2}$. When f and g are constants, from Eq.(26) we have $\mu = 0$. Then, the solutions degenerate to type I solutions, and the energy conditions (11) - (13) become, respectively, $g \geq 0$, $k \geq -1$ for the weak energy condition, $g \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$ or $g \leq 0$, $k \leq -1$ for the strong energy condition, and $g \geq 0$, $-1 \leq k \leq +1$ for the dominant energy condition. Note that when $k > 1$, the “supercharge” q has no contribution to the surface integral at spatial infinity due to the rapid fall off (r^{-2k}) in the metric coefficients. Therefore, it acts like short hair [10]. However, the existence of this kind of hairs can be limited by the dominant energy condition.

Note that the functions μ , ρ and P are linear in terms of the derivatives of $m(v, r)$. Thus, the linear superposition of Cases i) - iv) is also a solution to the Einstein field equations. In particular, the combination,

$$m(v, r) = \frac{ar}{2} + \frac{\Lambda}{6}r^3 + f(v) - \frac{q^2(v)}{2r}, \tag{31}$$

would represent the monopole-de Sitter-charged Vaidya solutions. Obviously, by properly choosing the functions $a_n(v)$, one can obtain as many solutions as wanted. The physical and mathematical properties of these solutions will be studied somewhere else.

- [1] P.C. Vaidya, Proc. Indian Acad. Sc., **A33**, 264 (1951).
- [2] P.S. Joshi, *Global Aspects in Gravitation and Cosmology* (Oxford, Clarendon, 1993).
- [3] A. Papapetrou, in *A Random Walk in Relativity and Cosmology*, edited by N. Dadhich, J.K. Rao, J.V. Narlikar, and C.V. Vishveshwara (Wiley, New York, 1985) pp. 184-191.
- [4] R. Penrose, Riv. Nuovo Cimento, **1**, 252 (1969).
- [5] R.W. Lindquist, R.A. Schwartz, and C.W. Misner, Phys. Rev. **B137**, 1364 (1965); W. Israel, Phys. Lett. **A24**, 184 (1967); J. Plebanski and J. Stachel, J. Math. Phys. **9**, 169 (1967); W.B. Bonnor and P.C. Vaidya, Gen. Relativ. Grav. **2**, 127 (1970).
- [6] B.T. Sullivan and W. Israel, Phys. Lett. **A79**, 371 (1980).
- [7] Y. Kaminaga, Class. Quantum Grav. **7**, 1135 (1990).
- [8] K. Lake and T. Zannias, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 1798 (1991).
- [9] V. Husian, Phys. Rev. **D53**, R1759 (1996).
- [10] J.D. Brown and V. Husian, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D6**, 563 (1997).
- [11] C. Barrabés and W. Israel, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 1129 (1991).
- [12] E. Poisson and W. Israel, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 1796 (1990).
- [13] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973).
- [14] M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 341 (1989).
- [15] P.S. Letelier, Phys. Rev. **D20**, 1294 (1979).
- [16] A. Ori, Class. Quantum Grav. **8**, 1559 (1991).